

18IH7386

YOC. 347.7/78

CENTRE OF EUROPEAN LAW

KING'S
College
LONDON
Founded 1829

Copyright in a Global Information Society

*The Scope of Copyright
Protection Under International,
US, UK and French Law*

Makeen Fouad Makeen

KLUWER LAW
INTERNATIONAL

THE HAGUE
LONDON
BOSTON

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	xiii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xiv
ABBREVIATIONS	xv
INTRODUCTION	xvii

CHAPTER ONE

The Expansion of Copyright to Cover Communication in Public

1. General plan	1
1.1 The origin of copyright	1
1.1[A] The origin and development of copyright in the UK	2
1.1[B] The origin and development of copyright in France	7
1.1[C] The origin and development of copyright in the USA	10
1.2 The expansion of copyright protection to cover communication in public	12
1.2[A] The recognition of the communication in public right in France	13
1.2[B] The recognition of the communication in public right in the UK	15
1.2[C] The recognition of the communication in public right in the USA	18
1.3 The enforcement of the communication in public right through collecting societies	19
1.4 Further development in the nineteenth century	23
1.4[A] The Berne Convention	23
1.4[B] The development of wireless communication technology	24
1.5 Radio and television broadcasting	29
1.6 Conclusion	30

CHAPTER TWO

From Communication in Public to Communication to the Public

2. General plan	33
2.1 United States of America	33
2.1.1 The public performance right pre-radio broadcasting	34
2.1.2 The broadcasting of a closed studio performance	36
2.1.2[A] Performance for profit	38
2.1.2[A](i) The “for profit requirement” in the pre-radio era	38
2.1.2[A](ii) The “for profit requirement” and radio broadcasting	39

2.1.3 Broadcast of a live public performance	42
2.1.4 Reception in public as a separate public performance	43
2.2 The United Kingdom	47
2.2.1 The public performance right pre-radio broadcasting	48
2.2.2 The radio era	49
2.2.3 The broadcasting of a closed studio performance	50
2.2.3[A] The public requirement	50
2.2.3[B] Performance	51
2.2.4 Broadcast of a live public performance	52
2.2.4[A] The PRS manoeuvre	52
2.2.4[B] The nature of broadcasting in the UK	54
2.2.5 Reception in public as a separate public performance	54
2.3 France	57
2.3.1 The public performance right pre-radio broadcasting	58
2.3.1[A] Performance	58
2.3.1[B] The public requirement	59
2.3.1[B](i) The first stage	59
2.3.1[B](ii) The second stage	60
2.3.1[B](iii) The third stage	60
2.3.2 The broadcasting era	61
2.3.3 Broadcast of a closed studio performance	61
2.3.3[A] Performance	61
2.3.3[B] Public	61
2.3.4 Broadcast of a live public performance	63
2.3.5 Reception in public as a separate public performance	63
2.4 The recognition of the broadcasting right at the international level	66
2.4.1 An early call for the international recognition of authors' rights of broadcasting	66
2.4.2 Article 11 <i>bis</i> and the Rome Revision of the Berne Convention	68
2.4.3 The broadcasting right and the terminology used in Article 11 <i>bis</i>	70
2.4.4 The Brussels revision and the "communication by radiodiffusion"	72
2.4.5 Right of remuneration rather than an exclusive right	73
2.4.6 The recognition of reception in public as a separate right at Brussels 1948	75
2.4.7 The relationship between paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 11 <i>bis</i>	77
2.4.8 The scope of the broadcasting right under the Universal Copyright Convention, Paris, 1971	78
2.4.9 The compulsory licence under the WIPO Copyright Treaty 1996	80
2.5 Conclusion	82

CHAPTER THREE

The Scope of the Communication to the Public Criterion in Respect of Broadcasting Under Contemporary Laws

3. General plan	85
3.1 United States of America	85
3.1.1 The exclusive rights under the 1976 Act	86
3.1.1[A] Performance	87
3.1.1[B] The public requirement	87
3.1.1[C] The adoption of the “geographical aspect” of the communication to the public criterion	88
3.1.1[D] The adoption of the “single rendition/multiple public performances aspect” of the communication to the public criterion	90
3.1.1[E] The communication to the public covers public performance and public display	90
3.1.1[F] The communication to the public criterion and the for profit requirement	92
3.1.1[G] Limitations on the communication to the public criterion	92
3.1.2 Specific exemptions	93
3.1.2[A] Educational transmission	93
3.1.2[B] Reception in public by four loudspeakers	94
3.1.2[B](i) History of section 110(5)	95
3.1.2[B](ii) Reasons behind the exemption	97
3.1.2[B](iii) The difficulty in application	99
3.1.2[B](iii)(a) The legislative history approach	99
Size	99
Physical arrangement, noise level and augmentation extent	100
3.1.2[B](iii)(b) The statutory requirements approach	101
Single receiving apparatus	101
A type commonly used in private homes	102
No further transmission to the public	102
3.1.2[B](iv) The difference between the legislative history and the statutory requirement approaches	104
3.1.2[B](v) The exemptions and the Berne Convention	105
3.1.2[B](v)(a) The educational transmission exemption and the Berne Convention	105
3.1.2[B](v)(b) Section 110(5) and the Berne Convention	106
3.1.2[C] Specific exemptions for the disabled	110
3.1.2[C](i) Transmission of non-dramatic literary works	110
3.1.2[C](ii) Transmission of a dramatic work	112
3.1.3 Compulsory licence for public broadcasters	112
3.1.3[A] The concept of public broadcasting	113
3.1.3[B] The compulsory licence mechanism	116

3.1.3[B](i) The historical reasons for the introduction of the compulsory licence mechanism	117
3.1.3[B](ii) Reasons behind its expansion to cover public broadcasting	117
3.1.3[B](iii) The applicability of the compulsory licence	120
3.1.3[C] The compatibility of the public broadcasters' compulsory licence with Article 11 <i>bis</i> of the Berne Convention	120
3.1.4 Fair use	121
3.1.4[A] The statutory factors and their interpretation	123
3.1.4[A](i) Purpose and character of the use	123
The Transformative and the "Fair is Fair" theories	124
3.1.4[A](ii) Nature of the copyrighted work	127
3.1.4[A](iii) The amount and substantiality	128
3.1.4[A](iv) Effect on the potential market or the value of the original	132
3.1.4[B] Functional tests	133
3.1.4[C] Specific test approach	134
3.1.4[D] Fair use and broadcasting according to the specific test	134
3.1.4[D](i) Fortuitous	135
3.1.4[D](ii) Unavoidable use	136
3.1.4[D](iii) No harm to the potential market	137
3.1.4[E] Compatibility with Berne	137
3.1.4[E](i) The scope of Article 9(2) of the Berne Convention	137
3.1.4[E](ii) The specific test approach and the compatibility with Berne	138
3.2 United Kingdom	140
3.2.1 The exclusive rights under the 1956 Act	140
3.2.1[A] The broadcasting right and the terminology used	141
3.2.1 B) The statutory adoption of the single rendition/multiple prohibited acts principle	142
3.2.2 The Copyright, Designs and Patents Act of 1988	142
3.2.2[A] The broadcasting right	143
3.2.2[B] The adoption of the "geographical aspect" of the communication to the public criterion	144
3.2.2[C] The adoption of the "single rendition/multiple prohibited acts aspect" of the communication to the public criterion	147
3.2.2[C](i) Performance	147
3.2.2[C](ii) The public requirement	148
3.2.2[D] Limitations on the communication to the public criterion	149
3.2.3 Compulsory licence	149
3.2.3[A] History and development of the Copyright Tribunal	150
3.2.3[B] The Copyright Tribunal powers	152
3.2.3[B](i) Tribunal's power over licensing schemes	153
3.2.3[B](ii) Tribunal's power over non-scheme licences	153
3.2.3[B](iii) Analysis of the Tribunal's powers and the compulsory licence	153
3.2.3[C] Compatibility with Berne	155
3.2.4 Fair dealing	156

3.2.4[A] Factors to be considered	157
3.2.4[A](i) Purpose of the use	157
3.2.4[A](ii) Amount and proportions	159
3.2.4[A](iii) Nature of the work	160
3.2.4[A](iv) The way in which the original work was obtained	160
3.2.4[A](v) The freedom of the media	161
3.2.4[A](vi) The public interest defence	161
3.2.4[B] The incidental inclusion	162
3.3 France	164
3.3.1 Authors' exclusive rights	165
3.3.2 The adoption of the "geographical aspect" of the communication to the public criterion	166
3.3.3 The adoption of the "single rendition/multiple public performances aspect" of the communication to the public criterion	168
3.3.4 Limitations on the communication to the public criterion	169
3.3.4[A] The compulsory licence	170
3.3.4[B] Fair use	171
3.4 Conclusion	173

CHAPTER FOUR

Broadcasting by Satellites

4. General plan	175
4.1 Long distance broadcasting	175
4.1.1 History of satellite communication	176
4.1.2 New issues raised by satellite communication	177
4.1.3 Direct and indirect broadcasting by satellite	178
4.2 Direct broadcast by satellite	180
4.2.1 The technical process	180
4.2.2 The role of the satellite	181
4.2.3 Definition of DBS	182
4.2.3[A] The ITU definition	182
4.2.3[B] Technological neutral definition	184
4.3 The applicable law	185
4.3.1 The applicable law under the Berne Convention	186
4.3.2 Article 5(2) of the Berne Convention	187
4.3.2[A] The scope of Article 5(2)	188
4.3.2[B] The <i>Lex fori</i> approach	189
4.3.2[B](i) Direct broadcasting by satellite under the <i>Lex fori</i> approach	190
4.3.2[C] The <i>Lex loci delicti</i> approach	191
4.3.2[C](i) Direct broadcasting by satellite under the <i>Lex loci delicti</i> approach	192
4.3.3 The definition of broadcasting under Berne and UCC	193
4.3.3[A] The Bogsch Theory	194
4.3.3[A](i) What is meant by a footprint country	196

4.3.3[B] The Emission Theory	199
4.3.3[C] Communication v. emission	202
4.3.3[C](i) France	204
4.3.3[C](ii) Austria	205
4.3.3[C](iii) Israel	205
4.3.3[D] The applicable law under the WIPO Copyright Treaty 1996	206
4.3.3[D](i) The need for future amendment	207
4.3.3[D](ii) Two alternatives for settling the applicable law under Berne	208
4.4 Direct broadcasting by satellite in the UK and France	209
4.4.1 The UK	210
4.4.2 France	211
4.5 Settling the applicable law issue at the EU level	214
4.5.1 General overview on Council Directive 93/83/EEC	216
4.5.2 The applicable law under the Cable and Satellite Directive	216
4.5.2[A] Is it really the Emission Theory?	219
4.6 Satellite broadcasting in the USA	220
4.6.1 DBS and the applicable law in the USA	221
4.7 Conclusion	226

CHAPTER FIVE

Cabling

5. General plan	227
5.1 Cable originated programmes	228
5.1.1 Cable originated programmes to people geographically dispersed	229
5.1.1[A] Cable originated programmes under the Berne Convention	229
5.1.1[B] Cable originated programmes in the UK	230
5.1.1[C] Cable originated programmes in France	234
5.1.1[D] Cable originated programmes in the USA	236
5.1.2 Video on demand	237
5.2 Cable retransmission	240
5.2.1 Cable retransmission of domestic broadcasts	240
5.2.1[A] Cable retransmission under the Berne Convention	240
5.2.1[A](i) The service zone theory	243
5.2.1[A](ii) Collective antennae under the Berne Convention	245
5.2.1[A](iii) Cable distribution of satellite emission under the Berne Convention	247
5.2.1[B] Cable retransmission in the UK	249
5.2.1[B](i) Cable distribution of domestic satellite transmission	252
5.2.1[C] Cable retransmission in France	253
5.2.1[C](i) The first stage	253
5.2.1[C](ii) The second stage	256
5.2.1[C](iii) Collective antennae under French law	258

5.2.1[C](iv) A specific exemption from the author's exclusive right of cable retransmission	260
5.2.1[C](v) Cable distribution of domestic satellite transmission	260
5.2.1[D] Cable retransmission in the USA	262
5.2.1[D](i) The first stage	262
5.2.1[D](ii) The second stage	263
5.2.1[D](iii) The third stage	265
5.2.1[D](iv) Exemptions from copyright liability	266
5.2.1[D](v) Compulsory licence for retransmissions by cable systems	268
5.2.1[D](vi) Cable distribution of domestic satellite emission	272
5.2.2 Cable retransmission of cross-frontier broadcasting	273
5.2.2[A] Cable retransmission of foreign broadcasts within the EU	274
5.2.2[A](i) Limitations imposed on the exclusive right of cable retransmission of cross-frontier broadcasts	274
5.2.2[B] Cable retransmission of cross-frontier satellite broadcasts in North America	277
5.2.2[B](i) Cable retransmission of cross-frontier direct broadcast by satellite	277
5.2.2[B](ii) Cable retransmission of cross-frontier indirect broadcast by satellite	278
5.3 Conclusion	279

CHAPTER SIX

Computer Networks

6. General plan	281
6.1 The internet	281
6.1.1 Legal issues raised by the internet	283
6.1.2 The exploitation of musical works over the internet	284
6.2 The WIPO Copyright Treaty and the classification process	285
6.2.1 Distribution right	285
6.2.2 Public display and rental rights	286
6.2.3 Reproduction right	287
6.2.4 The communication to the public right	289
6.3 The United Kingdom	293
6.3.1 The reproduction right	294
6.3.2 The cabling right	294
6.4 France	297
6.5 The European Union	299
6.5.1 Rental and public lending rights	299
6.5.2 The reproduction right	300
6.5.3 The communication to the public	301
6.6 The USA	303
6.6.1 The distribution right	304
6.6.2 The reproduction right	305

6.6.3 The rights of public display and public performance	306
6.7 The desirable way forward for the exploitation of authors' works over computer networks	308
6.7.1 Public policy	308
6.7.2 Copyright consistency	311
6.7.3 International harmonisation	313
6.8 Conclusion	314
CHAPTER SEVEN	
Final Comments	
7.1 Conclusion	315
7.2 Final recommendations	318
BIBLIOGRAPHY	319
INDEX	353