

1W/819491(039)



MODERN STUDIES IN EUROPEAN LAW



EUROPEAN STANDARDISATION OF
SERVICES AND ITS IMPACT
ON PRIVATE LAW

PARADOXES OF CONVERGENCE

BAREND VAN LEEUWEN

B L O O M S B U R Y

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
1. Context and Methodology	1
I. The Context: European Regulatory Private Law.....	1
A. The European Regulatory Private Law project	1
B. The Methodology of the ERPL Project	3
II. Methodology	4
A. The Aim of the Book.....	4
B. The Selection of the Services Sectors.....	6
C. Interviews and Socio-Legal Research	7
III. The Structure of the Book	9
A. The Macrostructure of the Book.....	9
B. The Microstructure of the Book.....	10
2. Convergence in Private Law, European Standardisation and Free Movement of Services	12
I. Convergence in Private Law: The Context of the Discussion	12
A. The Limits of ‘top-down’ Convergence in Private Law Through European Harmonisation.....	12
B. ‘Bottom-up’ Convergence Through European Standardisation as an Alternative to European Harmonisation	16
II. Convergence in Private Law Through European Standardisation.....	19
A. How Would Convergence in Private Law Through European Standardisation Work?	19
B. Why Would Convergence in Private Law Through European Standardisation Occur?	22
C. What Does Convergence in Private Law Through European Standardisation Mean?.....	24
D. How Could Convergence in Private Law Through European Standardisation be Tested?	26
III. The Regulation of Services by the EU, Its Impact on Private Law and the Role of European Standardisation.....	27
A. Free Movement of Services Under Article 56 TFEU.....	27
B. The Services Directive 2006	31

C.	European Standardisation of Services and its Impact on Private Law	35
IV.	A Preliminary Conclusion	38
3.	The Legal Framework for European Standardisation of Services and the Role of Private Law	40
I.	The European Legal Framework for Standardisation of Services	40
A.	The New Approach and Directive 98/34 on Technical Standards.....	40
B.	The Services Directive 2006: Towards a New Approach to Services?	47
C.	The Standardisation Regulation 2012	49
D.	Certification in the New Approach and Outside a European Framework.....	51
II.	European Standardisation of Services and Private Law	54
A.	Standardising Goods and Services: Similar or Different?.....	54
B.	The Interaction Between the European and the National Level in European Standardisation	57
C.	Consensus-based Decision-Making and Voting in European Standardisation.....	60
III.	European Standardisation and Legitimacy.....	61
A.	The Distinction Between Mandated and Non-Mandated Standards.....	61
B.	Representation.....	62
C.	Transparency.....	63
D.	Accessibility.....	64
IV.	The Perspectives of Some Key Players in European Standardisation of Services.....	65
A.	CEN.....	65
B.	ANEC	67
C.	European Commission.....	69
V.	A Preliminary Conclusion	71
4.	European Standardisation of Healthcare Services.....	74
I.	The Interaction Between EU Law and Healthcare Services.....	74
A.	The Lack of EU Competence to Regulate Healthcare Services.....	74
B.	The Impact of the Case Law on Free Movement of Services on the Regulation of Healthcare Services.....	78
i.	Procedural Requirements for Prior Authorisation of Healthcare Abroad.....	78
ii.	Substantive Requirements for Prior Authorisation of Healthcare Abroad	79

iii.	Waiting Lists.....	80
iv.	Transparency of Costs of Treatment.....	80
C.	The Impact of the Cross-Border Healthcare Directive 2011 on the Regulation of Healthcare Services.....	82
i.	Quality Standards	83
ii.	Accessibility of Quality Standards.....	83
iii.	Information Requirements.....	84
iv.	Complaints and Insurance	84
D.	The role of European Standardisation in the Regulation of Healthcare Services	85
II.	The Regulation of Healthcare Services at the National Level and the Role of Private Law.....	86
A.	The Transformation of the Character of Healthcare Services.....	86
B.	The Interaction Between Public Law and Private Law in the Regulation of Healthcare Services at the National Level.....	88
C.	European Standardisation in National Regulation of Healthcare Services	91
III.	Three Case Studies on European Standardisation of Healthcare Services	93
A.	Aesthetic Surgery Services.....	93
B.	Cleft Lip Surgery Services	97
C.	PIP Breast Implants.....	100
IV.	The Interaction Between European Standardisation and Healthcare Services	104
A.	Traditional Evidence-Based Medical Standardisation and European Standardisation Through CEN	104
B.	European Standardisation and De-Professionalisation of the Medical Profession	107
C.	The Role of Public Authorities and the Protection of National Legislation in European Standardisation	109
D.	European Healthcare Standards Vis-à-Vis National Healthcare Standards.....	111
V.	A Preliminary Conclusion	112
5.	European Standardisation of Tourism Services.....	114
I.	The Interaction Between EU Law and Tourism Services	114
A.	EU Competences in Tourism and EU Tourism Policy	114
B.	The Impact of the Case Law on Free Movement of Services on the Regulation of Tourism Services.....	117
C.	The Impact of the Package Travel Directive 1990 on the Regulation of Tourism Services.....	120
i.	Information Requirements.....	122

ii.	Amendments and Cancellation.....	122
iii.	Emergency Assistance	123
iv.	Liability.....	123
v.	Damages	123
D.	The Role of European Standardisation in the Regulation of Tourism Services	127
II.	The Regulation of Tourism Services at the National Level and the Role of Private Law.....	128
A.	The Interaction Between Public Law and Private Law in the Regulation of Tourism Services at the National Level.....	128
B.	European Standardisation in National Regulation of Tourism Services	130
III.	Two Case Studies on European Standardisation of Tourism Services.....	131
A.	Tourist Guide Training.....	131
B.	HOTREC's Opposition to European Standardisation and the Alternative of European Classification	135
IV.	The Interaction Between European Standardisation and Tourism Services	138
A.	SMEs and Stakeholder Participation in European Standardisation of Tourism Services.....	138
B.	European Standardisation of Services and Free Movement.....	140
C.	European Standardisation of Services and Quality, Safety and Diversity.....	143
V.	A Preliminary Conclusion	144
6.	The Application of European Standards in Contract Law and Tort Law and the Role of The Unfair Contract Terms Directive	146
I.	From the Making of European Services Standards to their Application in Private Law.....	146
II.	European Standards in Contract Law	147
A.	Framing Convergence in Contract Law	147
B.	Case Study: PIP Breast Implant Group Litigation in the UK.....	149
C.	Convergence in Contract Law.....	152
III.	European Standards in Tort.....	156
A.	Framing Convergence in Tort.....	156
B.	Case Study: TÜV Rheinland in the German and French Courts.....	159
C.	The Impact of PIP on Convergence in Tort.....	164
D.	Convergence in Tort	166

IV.	European Standards and the Unfair Contract Terms Directive.....	171
A.	Framing Convergence and the UCTD.....	171
B.	The Ex Ante Abstract Review and the Ex Post Concrete Review of European Standards.....	172
i.	The Ex Ante Abstract Review of European Standards.....	173
ii.	The Ex Post Individual Review of European Standards.....	175
iii.	European Standards and Individual Negotiation.....	175
iv.	European Standards and Mandatory Statutory or Regulatory Provisions.....	176
C.	Unfair Terms in European Standards.....	178
D.	The Role of the Injunction and the Impact of the UCTD on Convergence.....	182
V.	A Preliminary Conclusion.....	184
7.	The Application of European Standards in Free Movement Law and Competition Law.....	186
I.	From the Application of European Standards to their Review.....	186
II.	European Standards in Free Movement Law.....	187
A.	Framing Convergence in Free Movement Law.....	187
B.	Case Study: <i>Fra.bo</i>	189
C.	The Impact of <i>Fra.bo</i> on Convergence in Free Movement Law.....	192
D.	Convergence in Free Movement Law.....	194
III.	European Standards in Competition Law.....	198
A.	Framing Convergence in Competition Law.....	198
B.	Case Study: EMC Development.....	200
C.	Convergence in Competition Law.....	202
IV.	A Preliminary Conclusion.....	205
8.	Paradoxes of Convergence.....	207
I.	Returning to the Theme of Convergence.....	207
II.	European Standardisation and Services.....	208
A.	Outsiders and European Standardisation: Who Initiates Convergence?.....	208
B.	Triggers for European Standardisation: What are the Drivers for Convergence?.....	210
C.	Quality of Services and European Standardisation: What is the Impact of Convergence on Quality?.....	213

III.	European Standardisation and Private Law	215
A.	Convergence Without a European Regulatory Framework: Linking European Standardisation to Private Law	215
B.	Convergence Through Standardisation: The Impact of European Standards on the Liability of Service Providers	217
IV.	Paradoxes of Convergence	219
A.	The EU Paradox.....	219
B.	The Stakeholders Paradox.....	221
C.	Trying to Resolve the Paradoxes	222
	Annex: List of Interviews	225
	<i>Index</i>	227

Table of Cases

I. COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Alphabetical

<i>AGM COS.MET v Suomen valtio and Lehtinen</i> (C-470/03), ECLI:EU:C:2007:213.....	42
<i>Albany International BV v Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds Textielindustrie</i> (Case C-67/96), ECLI:EU:C:1999:28	176
<i>Aziz v Caixa</i> (C-415/11), ECLI:EU:C:2013:164.....	182
<i>Barclays Bank SA v Sánchez García and another</i> (C-280/13), ECLI:EU:C:2014:279.....	177–178
<i>Berger v Freistaat Bayern</i> (C-636/11), ECLI:EU:C:2013:227	151
<i>Blödel-Pawlik v HanseMerkur Reiseversicherung</i> (C-134/11), ECLI:EU:C:2012:98.....	123
<i>Boston Scientific Medizintechnik GmbH v AOK Sachsen- Anhalt and Betriebskrankenkasse RWE</i> (C-503/13 and C-504/13), ECLI:EU:C:2015:148.....	157
<i>CIA Security v Securitel</i> (C-194/94), ECLI:EU:C:1996:172	41
<i>Cofidis SA v Fredout</i> (C-473/00), ECLI:EU:C:2002:705	176–177
<i>Commission v France (tourist guides)</i> (C-154/89), ECLI:EU:C:1991:76	28, 119, 142
<i>Commission v Greece (tourist guides)</i> (C-198/89), ECLI:EU:C:1991:79.....	28, 119
<i>Commission v Ireland (Dundalk Water)</i> (C-45/87), ECLI:EU:C:1988:435	28
<i>Commission v Italian Republic</i> (C-372/99), ECLI:EU:C:2002:42	183
<i>Commission v Italian Republic (tourist guides)</i> (C-180/89), ECLI:EU:C:1991:78.....	28, 110
<i>Commission v Netherlands</i> (C-144/99), ECLI:EU:C:2001:257	180
<i>Commission v Spain (tourist guides)</i> (C-375/92), ECLI:EU:C:1994:109.....	28, 119
<i>Courage Ltd v Crehan</i> (C-453/99), ECLI:EU:C:2001:465	199
<i>Decker v Caisse de maladie des employés privés</i> (C-120/95), ECLI:EU:C:1998:167.....	75, 78
<i>Elchinov v. Natsionalna zdravnoosiguritelna kasa</i> (C-173/09), ECLI:EU:C:2010:581.....	81
<i>EMC Development v European Commisison</i> (T-342/05), ECLI:EU:T:2007:152	200–201
<i>EMC Development v Commission</i> (C-367/10-P), ECLI:EU:C:2011:203	202–203
<i>Fra.bo SpA v. Deutsche Vereinigung des Gas- und Wasserfaches eV (DVGW)—Technisch-Wissenschaftlicher Verein</i> (C-171/11), ECLI:EU:C:2012:453.....	43, 73, 177, 189–198
<i>Gebr. Weber GmbH v Wittmer and Putz v Medianes Electronics GmbH</i> (C-65/09 and C/87–09), ECLI:EU:C:2011:396.....	151

xiv *Table of Cases*

<i>Geraets-Smits v Stichting Ziekenfonds and Peerbooms v Stichting CZ Groep Zorgverzekeringen</i> (C-157/99), ECLI:EU:C:2001:404.....	75, 78–80, 91
<i>Haug-Adrion v Frankfurter Versicherungs-AG</i> (C-251/83), ECLI:EU:C:1984:397.....	31, 196
<i>Inizan v Caisse primaire d'assurance maladie des Hauts-de-Seine</i> (C-56/01), ECLI:EU:C:2003:578.....	76
<i>International Transport Workers' Federation and Finnish Seamen's Union v Viking Line ABP et al.</i> (C-38/05), ECLI:EU:C:2007:772.....	30–31
<i>James Elliott Construction Limited v Irish Asphalt Limited</i> (C-613/14), preliminary reference made by the Irish Supreme Court on 30 December 2014.....	43, 153
<i>Kohll v Union des caisses de maladie</i> (C-158/96), ECLI:EU:C:1998:171.....	75, 78
<i>Konsumentombudsmannen v Ving Sverige AB</i> (C-122/10), ECLI:EU:C:2011:299.....	122
<i>Laval un Partneri Ltd v Svenska Byggnadsarbetareförbundet et al.</i> (C-341/05), ECLI:EU:C:2007:809.....	30–31
<i>Leitner v TUI Deutschland</i> C-168/00 ECLI:EU:C:2002:163.....	124
<i>Lemmens</i> (C-226/97), ECLI:EU:C:1998:296.....	41
<i>Luisi and Carbone</i> (C-286/82 and C-26/83), ECLI:EU:C:1984:35.....	78, 118
<i>Meroni</i> (C-9/56), ECLI:EU:C:1958:7.....	43
<i>Müller-Fauré v Onderlinge Waarborgmaatschappij ZAO Zorgverzekeringen and van Riet v Onderlinge Waarborgmaatschappij ZAO Zorgverzekeringen</i> (C-385/99), ECLI:EU:C:2003:270.....	76, 78
<i>Nemzeti v Invitel</i> (C-472/10), ECLI:EU:C:2012:242.....	183
<i>Paul and others v Bundesrepublik Deutschland</i> (C-222/02), ECLI:EU:C:2004:606.....	158
<i>Procureur du Roi v Dassonville and another</i> (C-8/74), ECLI:EU:C:1974:82.....	193
<i>The Queen ex parte Watts v Bedford Primary Care Trust and Secretary of State for Health</i> (C-372/04), ECLI:EU:C:2006:325.....	76, 80
<i>Rewe-Zentral AG v Bundesmonopolverwaltung für Branntwein</i> (C-120/78), ECLI:EU:C:1979:42.....	40
<i>RWE Vertrieb AG v Verbraucherzentrale Nordrhein-Westfalen e.V.</i> (C-92/11), ECLI:EU:C:2013:180.....	177, 181
<i>Sapod Audic</i> (C-159/00), ECLI:EU:C:2002:343.....	196
<i>Schmitt v TÜV Rheinland</i> (C-219/15), preliminary reference made by the Bundesgerichtshof on 13 May 2015.....	160–164
<i>Schulz v Technische Werke Schussental GmbH</i> (C-359/11), ECLI:EU:C:2014:2317.....	181
<i>Stamatelaki v NPDD Organismos Asfaliseos Eleftheron Epangelmation</i> (C-444/05), ECLI:EU:C:2007:231.....	81
<i>Unilever v Central Food</i> (C-443/98), ECLI:EU:C:2000:496.....	41
<i>Union Royale Belge des Sociétés de Football Association ASBL v. Bosman and others</i> (C-415/93), ECLI:EU:C:1995:463.....	197
<i>Vanbraekel and others v Alliance nationale des mutualités chrétiennes</i> (C-368/98), ECLI:EU:C:2001:400.....	75

<i>Veedfald v Arhus Amtskommune</i> (C-203/99), ECLI:EU:C:2001:258	157
<i>Walrave and Koch v Association Union cycliste internationale et al.</i> (C-36/74), ECLI:EU:C:1974:140	118, 191–192, 194
<i>Numerical</i>	
C-9/56, <i>Meroni</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1958:7	43
C-8/74, <i>Procureur du Roi v Benoit Dassonville and another</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1974:82	193
C-36/74, <i>Walrave and Koch v Association Union cycliste internationale et al.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1974:140	118, 191–192, 194
C-120/78, <i>Rewe-Zentral AG v Bundesmonopolverwaltung für Branntwein</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1979:42	40
C-286/82 and C-26/83, <i>Luisi and Carbone</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1984:35	78, 118
C-251/83, <i>Haug-Adrion v Frankfurter Versicherungs-AG</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1984:397	31, 196
C-45/87, <i>Commission v Ireland (Dundalk Water)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1988:435	28
C-154/89, <i>Commission v France (tourist guides)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1991:76	28, 119, 142
C-180/89, <i>Commission v Italy (tourist guides)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1991:78	28, 110
C-198/89, <i>Commission v Greece (tourist guides)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1991:79	28, 119
C-375/92, <i>Commission v Spain (tourist guides)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1994:109	28, 119
C-415/93, <i>Union Royale Belge des Sociétés de Football Association ASBL v. Bosman and others</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1995:463	197
C-194/94, <i>CIA Security v Securitel</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1996:172	41
C-120/95, <i>Decker v Caisse de maladie des employés privés</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1998:167	75, 78
C-67/96, <i>Albany International BV v Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds Textielindustrie</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1999:28	176
C-158/96, <i>Kohll v Union des caisses de maladie</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1998:171	75, 78
C-226/97, <i>Lemmens</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1998:296	41
C-368/98, <i>Vanbraekel and others v Alliance nationale des mutualités chrétiennes</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:400	75
C-443/98, <i>Unilever v Central Food</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2000:496	41
C-144/99, <i>Commission v Netherlands</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:257	180
C-157/99, <i>Geraets-Smits v Stichting Ziekenfonds and Peerbooms v Stichting CZ Groep Zorgverzekeringen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:404	75, 78–80, 91
C-203/99, <i>Veedfald v Arhus Amtskommune</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:258	157
C-372/99, <i>Commission v Italian Republic</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2002:42	183
C-385/99, <i>Müller-Fauré v Onderlinge Waarborgmaatschappij ZAO Zorgverzekeringen and van Riet v Onderlinge Waarborgmaatschappij ZAO Zorgverzekeringen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2003:270	76, 78
C-453/99, <i>Courage Ltd v Crehan</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:465	199
C-159/00, <i>Sapod Audic</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2002:343	196
C-168/00, <i>Leitner v TUI Deutschland</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2002:163	124
C-473/00, <i>Cofidis SA v Fredout</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2002:705	176–177
C-56/01, <i>Inizan v Caisse primaire d'assurance maladie des Hauts-de-Seine</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2003:578	76

xvi *Table of Cases*

C-222/02, <i>Paul and others v Bundesrepublik Deutschland</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2004:606.....	158
C-470/03, <i>AGM COS.MET v Suomen valtio and Lehtinen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:213.....	42
C-372/04, <i>The Queen ex parte Watts v Bedford Primary Care Trust and Secretary of State for Health</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2006:325.....	76, 80
C-341/05, <i>Laval un Partneri Ltd v Svenska Byggnadsarbetareförbundet et al.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:809.....	30–31
T-342/05, <i>EMC Development v European Commisison</i> , ECLI:EU:T:2007:152	200–201
C-438/05, <i>International Transport Workers’ Federation and Finnish Seamen’s Union v Viking Line ABP et al.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:772.....	30–31
C-444/05, <i>Stamatelaki v NPDD Organismos Asfaliseos Eleftheron Epangelmation</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:231	81
C-65/09 and C/87-09, <i>Gebr. Weber GmbH v Wittmer and Putz v Medianes Electronics GmbH</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:396	151
C-173/09, <i>Elchinov v. Natsionalna zdravnoosiguritelna kasa</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:581.....	81
C-122/10, <i>Konsumentombudsmannen v Ving Sverige AB</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:299.....	122
C-367/10-P, <i>EMC Development v Commission</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:203.....	202–203
C-472/10, <i>Nemzeti v Invitel</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:242	183
C-92/11, <i>RWE Vertrieb AG v Verbraucherzentrale Nordrhein- Westfalen e. V.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:180.....	177, 181
C-134/11, <i>Blödel-Pawlik v HanseMerkur Reiseversicherung</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:98.....	123
C-171/11, <i>Fra.bo SpA v. Deutsche Vereinigung des Gas- und Wasserfaches eV (DVGW)—Technisch-Wissenschaftlicher Verein</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:453	43, 73, 177, 189–198
C-359/11, <i>Schulz v Technische Werke Schussental GmbH</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2014:2317.....	181
C-415/11, <i>Aziz v Caixa</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:164	182
C-636/11, <i>Berger v Freistaat Bayern</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:227	151
C-280/13, <i>Barclays Bank SA v Sánchez García and another</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2014:279.....	177–178
C-503/13 and C-504/13, <i>Boston Scientific Medizintechnik GmbH v AOK Sachsen-Anhalt and Betriebskrankenkasse RWE</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:148	157
C-613/14, <i>James Elliott Construction Limited v Irish Asphalt Limited</i> , preliminary reference made by the Irish Supreme Court on 30 December 2014.....	43, 153
C-219/15, <i>Schmitt v TÜV Rheinland</i> , preliminary reference made by the Bundesgerichtshof on 13 May 2015	160–164

II. NATIONAL COURTS

i. France

- Judgment of Tribunal de Commerce in Toulon of 14 November
2013 (N° de rôle: 2011F00517) 101, 103, 160,
163–164
- Judgment of the Tribunal correctionnel in Marseille of 10 December 2013,
(N° minute: 7206/13), (N° parquet: 12048000148) 101–102, 160

ii. Germany

- Judgment of Bundesgerichtshof of 25 February 1988—VII ZR 348/86
(Balcony Fall case), BGHZ 103, 298 = NJW 1988, 1380 125–126
- Judgment of Bundesgerichtshof of 18 July 2006—X ZR 142/05
(Water Chutes case), BGH NJW 2006, 3268 = RRA 2006, 206 125–126
- Judgment of Landgericht Köln of 5 March 2008—28 O (Kart) 529/07 190
- Judgment of Landgericht Frankenthal (Pfalz) of
14 March 2013—6 O 304/12 160–163
- Judgment of Oberlandesgericht Düsseldorf of 14 August 2013,
VI-2 U (Kart) 15/08 190–191, 196
- Judgment of Oberlandesgericht Zweibrücken of 30 January
2014—4 U 66/13 160, 162–165

iii. The Netherlands

- Judgment of the Rotterdam District Court of 15 October 2008,
295401, HA ZA 07-2802 153
- Judgment of the Amsterdam Court of Appeal of 24 November
2009, LJN BL4918 168

iv. Sweden

- Arbetsdomstolens domar* (Judgments by the Labour Court) 2009
No. 89 of 2 Dec. 2009 196

v. United Kingdom

- Evans v Kosmar Villa Holidays* [2007] EWCA Civ 1003 126
- Green v Building Scene Limited* [1994] PIQR 259 167
- Griffin v My Travel UK Ltd* [2009] NIQB 98 126
- Hone v Going Places Leisure Travel Ltd* [2001] EWCA Civ 947 126
- Medivance Instruments Ltd v Gaslane Pipework Services Limited*
[2002] EWCA Civ 500 154
- Messer UK v Britvic* [2002] EWCA Civ 548 153
- Office of Fair Trading v Foxtons Ltd* [2009] EWCA Civ 288 183
- Ward v The Ritz Hotel (London) Limited* [1992] PIQR 315 167
- Wilson v Best Travel* [1993] 1 All ER 353 126